REMARKS

By this Amendment, Applicants have canceled claim 1, amended the specification, and added new claims 22-42. Care has been taken to avoid adding any new matter.

In the Office Action dated May 19, 2004, the Examiner rejected claims 1-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 based on the statutory prohibition on double patenting. As the Examiner stated in the Office Action, this type of double patenting rejection may be overcome by canceling or amending the claims at issue. Applicants note that claims 2-21 were canceled in the Preliminary Amendment filed on October 10, 2003. Furthermore, Applicants cancel claim 1 by this Amendment. Therefore, the section 101 rejections of claims 1-21 should be overcome.

Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this Amendment and charge any required fees to our deposit account 06-0916.

Respectfully submitted,

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P.

Dated: June 10, 2004

By: Reg No. 36743
Richard V. Burgujian
Reg. No. 31,744